1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Criminal Chief		
4	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900		
6	San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061		
7	FAX: (408) 535-5066 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 11-00456 EJD	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [FROPOSED]	
16	v.)	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME	
17	SANFORD WALLACE,	UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
18	Defendant.	SAN JOSE VENUE	
19		SAN JOSE VENUE	
20	The undersigned parties respectfully request that the status hearing currently scheduled		
21	for January 23, 2012 be continued to April 9, 2012. The reason for the continuance is that		
22	Assistant United States Attorney Susan Knight will be in trial in <i>United States v. Qin</i> , CR 10-		
23	00083 PJH. In addition, the government the government recently turned over a hard drive with a		
24	voluminous amount of data that Ms. Maxwell needs to review. Furthermore, Ms. Maxwell will		
25	be in trial from early January until late March 2012. Therefore, the parties request a status		
26	appearance on April 9, 2012 and request an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from		
20	appearance on April 9, 2012 and request an excl	usion of time under the Speedy I rial Act from	

28

is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. §

1	1 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
2	SO STILULATED. MELINDA HAAG	
3		ey
4	SUSAN KINDITI	
5	5 Assistant United Sta	tes Attorney
6	DATED, 1/0/12 /8/	
7	7 K.C. MAXWELL Counsel for Mr. Wa	llace
8	8	
9	9 <u>ORDER</u>	
10	Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the status hearing	
11	scheduled for January 23, 2012 is continued to April 9, 2012.	
12	The Court FURTHER ORDERS that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from	
13	January 23, 2012 through April 9, 2012. The Court finds, based on the aforementioned reasons,	
14	that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of	
15	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance	
16	would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into	
17	account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The Court	
18	therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A)	
19	and (B)(iv).	
20	SO ORDERED.	
21	Ⅱ	Λ .
22	DATED.	Wach
23	EDWARD J. DAVI United States District	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	
27	27	